

# Area Plan Update FY 2022-23

March 16, 2022



SAN FRANCISCO HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY Department of Disability and Aging Services



## Contents

Area Plan Update Checklist	1
Transmittal Letter	2
Population Estimates	3
Public Hearings	5
Area Plan Narrative Goals and Objectives	8
Service Unit Plan (SUP) Objectives	19
Governing Board	
Advisory Board	40
Legal Assistance	42
Organizational Charts	46

## Area Plan Update Checklist

### Check one: □ FY21-22 ⊠ FY 22-23 □ FY 23-24

(use for APUs only)

AP Guidance Section	APU Components (To be attached to the APU)	Cheo Inclu	
	Update/Submit A) through I) <u>ANNUALLY</u> :		
n/a	A) Transmittal Letter- (submit by email with electronic or scanned original signatures)		J
n/a	B) APU- (submit entire APU electronically only)	$\boxtimes$	]
2, 3, or 4	C) Estimate- of the number of lower income minority older individuals in the PSA for the coming year	×	]
7	D) Public Hearings- that will be conducted	$\boxtimes$	]
n/a	E) Annual Budget	X	]
10	F) Service Unit Plan (SUP) Objectives and LTC Ombudsman Program Outcomes	$\boxtimes$	]
18	G) Legal Assistance	$\boxtimes$	]
	Update/Submit the following only if there has been a CHANGE or the section was not included in the 2020-2024	Mark Changed Changed ( <u>C or N/(</u> C	ł
5	Minimum Percentage/Adequate Proportion		
5	Needs Assessment		
9	AP Narrative Objectives:	$\boxtimes$	
9	<ul> <li>System-Building and Administration</li> </ul>		
9	Title IIIB-Funded Programs		
9	Title IIIB-Transportation		
9	<ul> <li>Title IIIB-Funded Program Development/Coordination (PD or C)</li> </ul>		
9	Title IIIC-1		
9	Title IIIC-2		
9	Title IIID		
20	<ul> <li>Title IIIE-Family Caregiver Support Program</li> </ul>		
9	HICAP Program		
12	Disaster Preparedness		
14	Notice of Intent-to Provide Direct Services		
15	Request for Approval-to Provide Direct Services		
16	Governing Board	$\boxtimes$	
17	Advisory Council	$\boxtimes$	
21	Organizational Chart(s)	$\boxtimes$	

## Transmittal Letter

#### 2020-2024 Four Year Area Plan/ Annual Update Check <u>one</u>: — FY 20-24 / — FY 21-22 — FY 22-23 — FY 23-24

#### AAA Name: San Francisco Department of Disability and Aging Services PSA <u>6</u>

This Area Plan is hereby submitted to the California Department of Aging for approval. The Governing Board and the Advisory Council have each had the opportunity to participate in the planning process and to review and comment on the Area Plan. The Governing Board, Advisory Council, and Area Agency Director actively support the planning and development of community-based systems of care and will ensure compliance with the assurances set forth in this Area Plan. The undersigned recognize the responsibility within each community to establish systems in order to address the care needs of older individuals and their family caregivers in this planning and service area.

1. <u>Martha Knutzen</u> (type name)

Signature: Governing Board Chair

2. <u>Diane Lawrence</u> (type name)

Signature: Advisory Council Chair

3. <u>Kelly Dearman</u> (type name)

Signature: Area Agency Director

Date


Date

Date

## **Population Estimates**

The charts below show a demographic breakdown of (A) all older adults (age 60+) in San Francisco, and (B) older adults with incomes at or below the federal poverty threshold. Note that recent estimates from the California Department of Finance suggest that San Francisco's senior population (age 60+) will grow at an average rate of 2% per year over the next ten years.<sup>1</sup>

According to the American Community Survey 2019 5-year estimates, there were 184,811 seniors age 60 or older in San Francisco, of whom 24,042 (13%) had incomes at or below the poverty threshold.



Source: American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Accessed through University of Minnesota IPUMS-USA datasets.

As shown above, seniors aged 60 and older are primarily Asian/Pacific Islander (API) and white. However, almost half of seniors living in poverty are API. Latino and African-American seniors are also overrepresented in the low-income population.

However, it is important to note that the federal poverty threshold does not fully capture all low-income seniors. As a static measure that does not factor in cost of living, the federal poverty threshold is arguably more a measure of destitution.


The limitations of relying on FPL to assess need are highlighted in a 2015 study by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research.<sup>2</sup> This study used the Elder Economic Security Standard Index, which incorporates variation in cost of living by county and by housing tenure to estimate a basic self-sufficiency standard, to identify the hidden poor. Findings from this study suggest that approximately 30% of single seniors and 29% of senior couples age 65 and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> California Department of Finance Demographic Research Unit, Population Projections (2010-2060), *Report P-2B: County Population by Age*. Available online: <u>https://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Projections/documents/P2B\_County\_Age</u>.xlsx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Padilla-Frausto, DI and Wallace, SP. (2015). The Hidden Poor: Over Three-Quarters of a Million Older Adults Overlooked by Official Poverty Line. Los Angeles, CA: UCLA Center for Health Policy Research. Accessed online November 3, 2015, at <a href="http://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/publications/search/pages/detail.aspx?PublD=1417">http://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/publications/search/pages/detail.aspx?PublD=1417</a>.

older are among the hidden poor – their income is above the federal poverty line but below the Elder Index thresholds for a decent standard of living. In total, an estimated 57% of single senior households and 39% of two-person senior households have inadequate income to meet a basic standard of living, representing at least 38,000 San Franciscans age 65 and older.

As shown in the chart below, the estimated cost of living in San Francisco far exceeds federal poverty guidelines and government benefits. Supplemental Security Income (SSI), the federal supplemental income stipend for the most impoverished older adults and persons with disabilities, provides a maximum benefit lower than the federal poverty line; anyone receiving SSI benefits is living in poverty. The national average Social Security retirement benefit is slightly more than \$18,000 per year (135% of FPL). Retirees without alternate retirement benefits or significant savings would likely struggle to make ends meet in San Francisco at this income level.



The Cost of Living in San Francisco Far Exceeds Federal Poverty Guidelines and Government Benefits

Sources: Social Security Administration, Supplemental Security Income in California, 2020 U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, 2020 Poverty Guidelines Social Security Administration, Monthly Statistical Snapshot, October 2020 UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, Elder Economic Security Standard Index 2019 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates (accessed via IPUMS)

# **Public Hearings**

This section documents our public hearings on the Area Plan, which provide the opportunity to comment on the development and content of the Area Plan.

## CCR Title 22, Article 3, Section 7302(a)(10) and Section 7308 Older Americans Act Reauthorization Act of 2016, Section 314(c)(1)

At least one public hearing must be held each year of the four-year planning cycle.

Fiscal Year	Date	Location	# Attendees	Presented in languages other than English? <sup>3</sup>	Held at a Long- Term Care Facility? <sup>4</sup>
2020-	2/19/20	1650 Mission St, 5 <sup>th</sup> Fl	18	No	No
2021	3/4/20	City Hall, Room 416	52	No	No
2021-	2/17/21	Virtual	15	No	No
2022	3/5/21	Virtual	16*	No	No
2022-	2/16/22	Virtual	11	No	No
2023	3/3/22	Virtual	50*	No	No

\*Virtual meeting with open web access so exact number is unknown

The following must be discussed at each Public Hearing conducted during the planning cycle:

#### 1. Summarize the outreach efforts used in seeking input into the Area Plan from institutionalized, homebound, and/or disabled older individuals.

We solicit input from persons who are institutionalized, homebound, and disabled through our extensive needs assessment process. This included a focus group with homebound adults, persons with vision impairment/blindness, consumers of behavioral health and mental health resources, and persons aging with HIV. Additionally, our Community Survey was distributed online and via hard copy with outreach through social media, service providers, and other community partners; we received 20 responses from persons who identified as residing in assisted living facilities.

#### 2. Were proposed expenditures for Program Development (PD) or Coordination (C) discussed?

#### □Yes. Go to question #3

⊠Not applicable, PD and/or C funds are not used. Go to question #4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A translator is not required unless the AAA determines a significant number of attendees require translation services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> AAAs are encouraged to include individuals in LTC facilities in the planning process, but hearings are not required to be held in LTC facilities.

3. Summarize the comments received concerning proposed expenditures for PD and/or C

Not applicable

4. Attendees were provided the opportunity to testify regarding setting minimum percentages of Title III B program funds to meet the adequate proportion of funding for PriorityServices

⊠Yes. Go to question #5 □No, Explain:

5. Summarize the comments received concerning minimum percentages of Title IIIB funds to meet the adequate proportion of funding for priority services.

2020: No comments.

2021: No comments.

2022: No comments.

#### 6. List any other issues discussed or raised at the public hearing.

2020: The following issues were discussed:

- Definition of disability and eligibility for DAS services: Questions were raised regarding the Department's definition of disability with concern expressed that only people with physical disabilities and dementia are eligible to participate in services. Department staff clarified that DAS serves people with a wide range of physical and mental conditions and that consumers are not required to provide documentation or identify specific disabilities when accessing our community-based services. Based on advice from disability experts, we do not employ a more specific definition of disability (e.g., list of types of disability) to avoid inadvertently establishing restrictive criteria that exclude people from participating in services.
- Senior homelessness: Concern was expressed about rates of senior homelessness and that the Area Plan does not directly address this issue. Department staff noted that the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH) is the City's lead agency on this issue and that DAS does collaborate with HSH, including through the Home Safe pilot program referenced in the Narrative Goals and Objectives section of this report. DAS also funds programs that seek to prevent homelessness, such as the Rental Subsidy, Legal Services, and Case Management programs.
- **Assisted Living**: A few points were discussed regarding assisted living facilities and their residents, including general eligibility for participation in DAS services and also safety and comfort of transgender persons living in these facilities. DAS explained that assisted living residents are eligible to participate in its programs. The LGBTQ Long-Term Care Bill of Rights protects against discrimination based on sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, and HIV status, and the Long-Term Care Ombudsman is responsible for responding to complaints regarding the violation of these protections.
- **Racial Equity**: There were questions and discussion regarding the City's commitment to racial equity and how DAS incorporates this into its work. Staff highlighted the equity analysis that guided the needs assessment process and shapes key priorities outlined in this plan and the Department's work.

2021: The following issues were discussed:

- **Staff training and development**: There was discussion of strategies to build staff capacity related to racial equity and trauma informed systems. DAS provided information about its training plans and intention to expand access to professional staff in the community and Advisory Council members where appropriate.
- **COVID-19 innovations**: Advisory Council members asked about plans to sustain effective innovations developed during pandemic. Department staff noted that conversations about these response efforts are ongoing and determinations have not yet been made.

2022: The following issues were discussed:

- Technology access and the digital divide: Advisory Council members raised concerns about the ability of older people and people with disabilities, particularly those with low income, to use technology to stay connected with their communities and participate in needed social services. DAS staff acknowledged these concerns, noting how these themes emerged in recent listening sessions with communities of color, and providing information about Department services through which we continue to make inroads in addressing the digital divide. These services include the SF Connected network of computer labs and technology classes offered citywide, and the long-term device loan, training, and ongoing technical support available through the Technology at Home program.
- Multiple ways to access information and navigate resources: Related to their concerns about technology access, Advisory Council members expressed a need for multi-modal approaches to sharing information with the community about resources and supporting service navigation. DAS staff shared the Department's plans to develop a dynamic online resource directory to serve as a centralized place for consumers and service providers to access information about services for older people and adults with disabilities. Staff also emphasized that in-person and phone information, referral, and assistance services would continue to be available to those who may not wish or be able to use the online directory through the DAS Benefits and Resource Hub and Aging and Disability Resource Centers located throughout every supervisorial district in the city.
- **Staff training and development**: As in the previous year, Advisory Council members discussed the need for ongoing staff capacity building throughout the DAS service network on topics like racial equity and trauma informed systems. DAS provided information about the Department's training curriculum and plans for expanding course offerings and access to professional staff in the community and Advisory Council members where appropriate.

#### 7. Note any changes to the Area Plan which were a result of input by attendees.

2020: The following changes were made:

• Based on discussion with the Advisory Council, we added an objective related to facilitating collaboration in the community and across departments.

2021: No changes were made

2022: No changes were made

# Area Plan Narrative Goals and Objectives

## This section outlines our broad Goals for the Area Plan cycle and the specific Objectives (or strategies) that we'll use to support these Goals.

As outlined in *Section 4: Planning Process/Establishing Priorities*, our Department **Goals** serve as the conceptual underpinning for our administration of the aging and disability service delivery system.

To achieve these Goals, each year we identify specific **Objectives** or actionable strategies to pursue. Given the purpose of this Area Plan, the items included here focus primarily on community-based services (aligned with the network of services outlined in the Older Americans Act services). Many of these items are also integrated within the agency-wide DAS Strategic Plan and our annual Action Plans described in *Section 4*.

Our Goals and Objectives begin on the following page.

#### Goal 1: Maintain a robust network of community-based services for older adults and adults with disabilities.

Rationale: Quality community-based long term care goes beyond providing what services people need. It encompasses a broader, more fundamental issue: what people require for a good life. Working with community-based organizations, we can facilitate diverse opportunities for social engagement, nutrition support, and many other resources that enhance the well-being of older persons and persons with disabilities.

Objective	Projected Start and End Dates	Title IIIB Funded PD or C	Update Status
A. Promote expansion of employment	July 2020		The Work Matters collaborative continues to host
resources that support older people and	to June		quarterly meetings with the City's employment
adults with disabilities in the workforce. The	2024		support programs. The collaborative increased its
Work Matters collaborative will host quarterly			membership this year, adding two more employment
meetings to facilitate collaboration across the			support providers. In FY 2022-23, the ReServe program
City's employment support programs (including			will support 150 clients, with a focus on expanding
traditional public workforce programs) and			opportunities for part-time employment, including
provide outreach and trainings to educate and			positions in community emergency preparedness. The
engage employers. The DAS-funded ReServe			program will also increase participants' access to
program will support 125 clients to prepare for			benefits counseling to help address any impact of
and participate in the workforce.			their employment on their benefits allotment.
B. Support intergenerational activities. $We$	July 2020		Our pilot programs continued to provide modified
are piloting six community-based programs	to June		services using virtual platforms during the COVID-19
that bring together people of different ages	2024		pandemic to maintain participant engagement.
and/or abilities with a goal of fostering			Programming ranged from technology classes and
intentional interaction and encouraging			tutoring sessions to activities focused on cultural
relationship building. These programs will serve			exchange between our diverse participants and
475 clients per year.			tailored activities for individuals with visual
			impairment. One of our providers, Bayview Hunters
			Point Multipurpose Senior Services Inc., received the
			2021 n4a Aging Innovations and Achievement Award
			for this program. We will continue to work closely with
			our community partners to meet the needs of our
			target population and adapt program activities and
			services in our ever-changing COVID-19 environment.

C. Promote positive nutrition status and	July 2020	Food insecurity and community need for culturally-
reduce food insecurity. Through a robust	to June	
-	to June 2024	relevant, quality nutrition services remain at elevated levels due to the COVID-19 pandemic — and meeting these needs remains a top priority for DAS. Our nutrition partners continue to offer modified services in alignment with public health guidance, such as Congregate Meal pick-up and delivery and pilot hybrid options for on-site dining. These partners also continue to work collaboratively with DAS to provide culturally relevant food to clients, and expand high- demand programs launched early in the pandemic such as one Home-Delivered Meal provider's inclusion of supplemental Latinx/Hispanic groceries along with their prepared meals. In addition, our home-delivered nutrition providers readily absorbed many new clients upon the conclusion of the state's Great Plates Delivered restaurant meal program. Community need for food support remains elevated, and we and will continue to work closely with our nutrition providers in
<b>D. Maintain a robust network of caregiver</b> <b>supports.</b> We will work to develop and maintain a coordinated network of resources that support informal caregivers, including adult day programs, respite care options, and traditional family caregiver support services. In FY 2020-21, our Dignity Fund Respite Care program will provide approximately 24,000 hours of respite care for over 200 caregivers.	July 2020 to June 2024	the coming year to meet this demand for services with available resources.Our Caregiver Support programs continue to provide modified virtual services during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. These services include webinars and expanded counseling and resources for caregivers on topics related to caregiving during the pandemic, including issues of burnout, isolation, and anxiety due to COVID-19. We expect clients to continue accessing services at higher-than-normal rates due to increased stress and need for more support. We will continue to provide services in Cantonese and Spanish, and will also pursue new partnerships to address unmet respite needs and more equitably serve clients in English, Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and Spanish.

#### Goal 2: Protect older adults and adults with disabilities from abuse, neglect, and financial exploitation.

Rationale: While older and disabled persons possess a variety of strengths and many are increasingly able to live independently in the community without assistance, some experience heightened risks related to their health, housing, and safety. By helping to address critical issues and facilitating connections to resources, we can support consumers meet their basic needs and regain or maintain their stability in the community.

Objective	Projected Start and End Dates	Title IIIB Funded PD or C	Update Status
A. Prevent and mitigate the abuse of older	July 2020		Leveraging one-time COVID-19 emergency response
people and adults with disabilities through	to June		funds, the Elder Abuse Prevention (EAP) program
public outreach and awareness building. The	2024		printed and distributed over 14,000 fliers in various
Elder Abuse Prevention program will provide			languages to promote personal and home safety in
outreach and education to mandated reporters			response to anti-API hate violence. In addition, through
and the community. This will include 25 training sessions for mandated reporters and			the EAP program's regular grant funding, we created a
20 public education sessions, as well as the			formal anti-API hate campaign — including businesses, nonprofits, and City agencies — to develop a statement
distribution of 4,450 copies of educational			of solidarity against anti-API hate violence and to
materials.			establish an anonymous San Francisco Police
			Department hotline to report these crimes. The EAP
			also serves on the San Francisco District Attorney's AAPI
			Elder Abuse Steering Committee.
B. Enhance the City's network of resources	July 2020		The Adult Protective Services Financial Abuse Virtual
working together to identify and address	to June		Unit pilot program continues to provide dedicated legal
instances of elder and dependent adult	2024		support for issues of elder financial abuse, supported by
<b>abuse.</b> We will fund a dedicated position in our			quarterly stakeholder meetings. We have also launched
Legal Services program to collaborate with			two new multidisciplinary teams (MDTs) with City and
Adult Protective Services to address cases of			community partners: (1) the High Risk Self-Neglect MDT,
elder financial abuse. Through the Forensic			addressing the needs of individuals who are unable to
Center, a multi-disciplinary team of service			care for themselves, and (2) the Elder and Disability
providers, law enforcement, the Ombudsman			Death Review Team, which focuses on systems
and Adult Protective Services will convene			improvement to prevent unnecessary deaths due
quarterly to resolve complex cases of abuse,			abuse neglect and exploitation.
neglect, and self-neglect.			

C Develop strategies to provent eviction and		Although the Here Cofe pilot program was initially
C. Develop strategies to prevent eviction and	July 2020	Although the Home Safe pilot program was initially
homelessness. We will implement and support	to June	intended to run for two years, from July 2019 to June
evaluation of Home Safe, a state-funded pilot to	2024	2021, the state has since extended the pilot through
stabilize low-income older and disabled adults		June 30, 2024, with expanded funding and increased
at imminent risk of homelessness due to self-		flexibility for the use of these funds. To date, Home Safe
neglect. A partnership of Adult Protective		has served over 90 clients, and will continue to provide
Services, the Department of Homelessness and		homelessness prevention services to older adults and
Supportive Housing, and our community		adults with disabilities who are victims of abuse,
partners, this program will serve approximately		neglect, or exploitation and are at risk of homelessness.
60 clients in FY 2020-21.		In light of the state's extension and expansion of the
		pilot, Home Safe will also develop services to support
		housing transitions for individuals experiencing
		homelessness. These will include assessment of APS
		clients residing in congregate shelter settings and
		provision of intensive case management to help these
		individuals transition safely to and stabilize in
		permanent housing. The program plans to serve an
		additional 150 clients through June 2024, and possibly
		more if additional state funds become available.
D. Support quality care in long-term care	July 2020	The Ombudsman program continues to support
	to June	residents in long-term care settings. Although it has
settings. Through the Long-Term Care		been challenging for Ombudsman staff to visit facilities
Ombudsman program, we will support	2024	in person due to COVID-19 related restrictions, staff
appropriate care and high quality of life for		have pivoted to virtual platforms, such as Zoom and
residents of assisted living facilities and other		FaceTime, to remain engaged with residents and
long-term care settings receive quality care.		families. The program has continued to provide
This will include increased outreach and		specialized outreach and engagement to monolingual
engagement among monolingual Chinese		Chinese speaking residents with support from
language speakers; our local program has hired		Cantonese-Mandarin speaking staff, and intends to hire
a dedicated bilingual specialist to focus on this		another Chinese speaking staff member to reinforce
growing segment of the client population.		capacity in this area.

#### Goal 3: Provide and support consumer-centered programming to best address client needs.

Rationale: Our clients are unique individuals who come from diverse communities, have varied needs and express different preferences for how to engage in services and receive care. We must take a whole person approach in serving consumers and support systems integration within DAS and the community to streamline access to resources. As we work with consumers, we must be mindful of all resources that may be useful for their situation.

Objective	Projected Start and End Dates	Title IIIB Funded PD or C	Update Status
A. Streamline access to resources across	July 2020		Although DAS temporarily suspended work to develop
<b>Department and community to promote</b> <b>timely access of needed resources.</b> DAS will	to June 2024		an online resource last year due to our focus on the COVID-19 emergency response, DAS has since
establish an online resource directory that will	2024		resumed these efforts. Based on prior best practices
serve as a centralized source of information			research and ongoing collaboration with IT to identify
about resources that support seniors to safely			key systems requirements, DAS has begun the
age in the community. Launching in FY 2020-21			procurement process to select a vendor who will build
with support from the Stupski and Dolby			this essential resource.
foundations, we will develop a plan for ongoing			
sustainability and continue over the next four			
years to monitor and adjust the directory to			
ensure it is an effective tool for the public, as			
well as service professionals.			
B. Ensure programs are culturally appropriate	July 2020		Equity considerations and culturally relevant
for the city's diverse low-income older people	to June		programming remain a priority for our Department.
and adults with disabilities. Guided by our	2024		Our TGNC programs, which launched in January 2020,
Equity Analysis and subsequent analysis, we will			have now been operating for more than two full years;
address identified equity issues to support all			the DAS Office of Community Partnerships plans to
San Franciscans to age with dignity in the			assess these programs later this year to understand
community. One new equity-focused initiative is the development of new services tailored for			their impact and lessons learned about serving TGNC populations, and identify next steps for these services.
transgender and gender non-conforming			DAS has also identified a location for our planned
(TGNC) residents to promote meaningful			Disability Cultural Community Center, and will soon
connection and engagement for 130 consumers			procure a vendor to oversee the development and
in FY 2020-21.			operations at this site.

C. Develop new and innovative programs	July 2020	DAS currently supports four peer ambassadors serving
that address the needs of unique	to June	four Supervisorial Districts throughout San Francisco,
communities and consumer groups. Working	2024	identified as areas with lower consumer knowledge
closely with our community providers, we will	2024	about and engagement with disability and aging
explore grassroots models and expand services		services. These peer liaisons are residents of the
		·
that address population needs and preferences.		Districts they serve, and identify as older and/or
This includes our new Peer Ambassador		disabled adults. Following the rise in COVID-19 related
program, which appoints outreach liaisons in		anti-Asian/Pacific Islander (API) hate violence and
commercial corridors who also serve as		safety concerns among API communities, we
neighborhood liaisons to our service network.		launched a safety escort service as part of the Peer
		Ambassador program. Escorts accompany older adults
		and adults with disabilities to conduct business, tasks,
		and errands in their community. The program extends
		to all residents and is available citywide. We will
		continue to provide these services in the coming year,
		and are currently in the process of procuring
		additional Peer Ambassador service providers to
		better meet the needs of the Vietnamese population
		and other communities of color.
D. Support consumers to meet their needs	March	As the COVID-19 pandemic continues, so too do our
safely during the coronavirus emergency and	2020 to	modified services. Virtual and hybrid programs have
recovery. In coordination with the City's	TBD	proven to be both safe and engaging, so DAS will
emergency response, DAS will provide adapted,		continue activities via virtual means as an option for
enhanced, and/or new resources to support		participants. We will also continue corresponding
older and disabled adults to meet their needs		efforts to break down the digital divide to improve
safely in the context of COVID-19. During the		equity of access to online services. Nutrition services
immediate pandemic response and long-term		remain primarily to-go, but we have and will continue to pilot resumption of small-group, socially-distanced
recovery, we will ensure services are accessible		Congregate Meal services.
and tailored to meet the unique situations of		Congregate mean services.
our community members.		

#### Goal 4: Expand planning and evaluation efforts to ensure best use of resources and maximize client outcomes.

Rationale: To effectively serve older people and adults with disabilities living in San Francisco, we need to develop useful information that allows us to identify and understand unmet needs and formulate thoughtful strategies to fill those gaps. We are committed to measuring the impact of our services and working collaboratively with other agencies and community partners to support a data-informed process for service.

Objective	Projected Start and End Dates	Title IIIB Funded PD or C	Update Status
A. Develop information to support data-	July 2020		In the past year, DAS conducted a series of listening
informed decision-making. In order to better	to June		sessions with nearly 170 consumers, service providers,
understand specific trends, population needs,	2024		and other professionals to better understand the
and program impact, DAS will conduct deep			experiences and needs of older and disabled people of
dives into specific topics and program areas			color. Findings and recommendations from these
each year. Topics may include focused needs			convenings have and will continue to inform the DAS
assessments of specific consumer groups			annual Action Plan, and will also be incorporated in the
and/or evaluations of specific services.			Dignity Fund Community Needs Assessment currently
			underway. This needs assessment will be completed in
			April, and relies on extensive community research and engagement to identify areas of unmet need. Its
			findings and recommendations will help shape a
			Services and Allocation Plan in FY 2022-23, outlining
			Department funding priorities for the next four years.
B. Strengthen outcome objectives to	July 2020		Since the outbreak of COVID-19, the Department has
support better understanding of program	to June		primarily focused on emergency response activities and
impact. We will draw on research literature	2024		worked closely with community service providers to
and work in collaboration with our community			address immediate operational issues so that we are
partners to ensure metrics are meaningful and			able to adapt and maintain continuity of services under
manageable. We will focus on specific service			pandemic conditions. In the coming year, we will
areas according to the contract cycle schedule			continue to collaborate with our provider network to
outlined in the Dignity Fund 2020-23 Service			update and improve outcome objectives as needed, in
and Allocation Plan. In FY 2020-21, we will focus			alignment with our contract cycle schedule and
on the Access and Empowerment, Caregiver			procurement process. In FY 2022-23, we will resume the
Support and Housing Support service areas.			annual Dignity Fund Data & Evaluation Report.

C. Facilitate an Age- and Disability-Friendly	July 2020	Following the first ever Age- and Disability-Friendly SF
<b>City.</b> San Francisco has joined World Health	to June	(ADFSF) cycle, which concluded in 2020, DAS prepared
Organization and AARP initiatives to develop inclusive and livable cities. We will conclude our first cycle in FY 2020-21 and evaluate the impact of this effort and then begin a second cycle.	2024	an evaluation of the first ADFSF plan last year. This evaluation assessed progress on plan items and identified lessons learned from the implementation process. We are currently supporting the ADFSF workgroup to develop a new ADFSF plan for the next three year period (2022-2024), based on findings from the previous cycle evaluation as well as new research and priorities that have emerged across key domains in the evolving COVID-19 pandemic context. The new plan will be published in the spring of 2022, with implementation beginning this year and running through December 2024.
D. Encourage and support collaboration	July 2020	As the COVID-19 pandemic stretches on, DAS –
across providers, government agencies, and other partners. Through informal and formal partnerships, we will seek to leverage expertise and reduce duplication to best serve client populations. This includes supporting our contractors to develop new collaborations, as well as supporting other fields and service systems to work with older people and adults with disabilities.	to June 2024	particularly the Office of Community Partnerships – continues to serve as a key collaborator and resource for our community partners. Our staff ensure that community-based service providers are able to access needed personal protective equipment and cleaning supplies ongoing. We also remain responsive to the evolving challenges of service delivery in an ever- changing pandemic context, and continue to work closely with our provider network to ensure we are able to maintain continuity of services and address emergent community needs.

Goal 5: Support and develop an engaged professional workforce that is prepared to work with older adults and adults with disabilities.

Rationale: For older adults and people with disabilities to live and engage in community, it is critical that San Francisco has a robust professional workforce trained in aging and disability issues to provide services and supports.

Objective	Projected Start and End Dates	Title IIIB Funded PD or C	Update Status
A. Support professional education and training. DAS will implement an enhanced educational training curriculum for DAS staff. Additionally, in coordination with the Advisory Council, we will provide quarterly educational presentations for our providers on topics like Disability and Ableism" in community settings.	July 2020 to June 2024		DAS continues to provide virtual trainings to community providers and other partners. In partnership with the Geriatrics Workforce Enhancement Program (GWEP) at UCSF, we are currently conducting the second half of a training series focused on topics such as Common Mental Health Disorders in Older Adults; Caring for the Carer, Self-Care When Caring for Older Adults; and Substance Use Disorders in Older Adults. We have als expanded our schedule of offerings and language capacity for our Elder Abuse and Mandated Reporter training, to better meet the needs of our provider network. In FY 2022-23, we will launch a training series for DAS staff and partners focused on issues relating to disability and ableism, including information about the spectrum of disability, best practices for creating accessible physical and virtual spaces, and other relevant topics.
B. Engage students in the field of aging and	July 2020		DAS hosted several a total of six MSW interns last year
disability services through internships and school partnerships. Through our Adult Protective Services program, we will participate in a state pilot of MSW internship stipends (modeled after the child welfare Title IVE program). We will explore opportunities to support our community partners to offer paid internships and also to partner with City College certification programs.	to June 2024		across various programs, and plans to leverage expanded state funding for the MSW stipend program to continue facilitating intern placements in the coming year. In addition, this year Homebridge (agency-based In-Home Supportive Services) launched a CNA certification pathway in collaboration with City College. In FY 2022-23, the Office of Community Partnerships plans to implement a pilot summer internship program for community-based organizations funded by DAS.

C. Develop an overview presentation of	July 2020	This year, the DAS Benefits and Resource Hub
senior/disability resources and provide	to June	participated in the City's 16-week Civic Bridge program,
regularly. To ensure service professionals	2024	working closely with a pro bono consultant to
understand the full range of available services,		strengthen Department outreach planning and
we will develop a standing presentation that		implementation. This work included the development of
provides an overview of the aging and		a more streamlined and digestible overview
disability service network. This training will be		presentation of senior and disability resources for
provided on a regular basis to DAS staff and		professional staff both within DAS and throughout our
service providers, both to orient new		provider and partner network. We have begun planning
professionals to the City and also ensure		a training series, planned for launch in FY 2022-23, to
longer-term professionals are up-to-date on		enhance professionals' understanding of the full range
local resources.		of available resources and their ability to help clients
		navigate and get connected to these services.
D. Build staff capacity to apply principles of	July 2020	The Adult Protective Services Healing Organization
racial equity and trauma-informed systems	to June	Workgroup continues to meet monthly to address
in professional practice. As part of an Agency-	2024	workplace concerns using a trauma-informed systems
wide effort to promote racial equity, DAS staff		framework. In the last year, the workgroup has focused
will participate in trainings on the racial equity		on developing strategies to support staff through the
framework and convene a staff-driven		changes and challenges of COVID-19, particularly as staff
workgroup to develop Departmental equity		return to in-person field and office settings. In addition,
initiatives. Additionally, within our Adult		six DAS staff members served as Department
Protective Services and Public Conservator		representatives in our Agency's employee-led Racial
programs, DAS will train staff on trauma-		Equity Work Group, supporting the implementation of
informed systems, conduct a baseline		our Agency-wide Racial Equity Action Plan and
organizational health assessment, and develop		development of an annual progress report. In FY 2022-
and implement a plan for adopting trauma-		23, DAS will continue to contribute staff time in support
informed practices.		of the Agency's racial equity initiatives, and encourage
		staff to participate in racial equity training and other
		opportunities for engagement like joining an affinity-
		groups or attending Lunch and Learn events.

## Service Unit Plan (SUP) Objectives

This section identifies anticipated service units for each program supported by funding from the California Department of Aging. This consists of seven subsections by funding source and program type; report instructions have been italicized font to better delineate our response to the prompts.

#### TITLE III/VIIA SERVICE UNIT PLAN OBJECTIVES CCR Article 3, Section 7300(d)

The Service Unit Plan (SUP) uses the National Aging Program Information System (NAPIS) Categories and units of service. They are defined in the <u>NAPIS State Program</u> <u>Report (SPR)</u>

For services <u>not</u> defined in NAPIS, refer to the <u>Service Categories and Data</u> <u>Dictionary and the National Ombudsman Reporting System (NORS) Instructions.</u>

Report the units of service to be provided with ALL funding sources. Related funding is reported in the annual Area Plan Budget (CDA 122) for Titles IIIB, IIIC-1, IIIC-2, IIID, and VIIA. Only report services provided; others may be deleted.

#### 1. TITLE IIIB: SUPPORTIVE SERVICES & TITLE IIIC: NUTRITION

F	Personal Care	(In-Home)	Un	Unit of Service = 1 Hour		
	Fiscal	Proposed	Goal	Objective Numbers		
	Year	Units of Service	Numbers	(if applicable)		
	2020-2021	460	1, 2, 3, 4, 5			
	2021-2022	460	1, 2, 3, 4, 5			
	2022-2023	460	1, 2, 3, 4, 5			
	2023-2024					

#### Homemaker (In-Home)

Fiscal	Proposed	Goal	Objective Numbers	
Year	Units of Service	Numbers	(if applicable)	
2020-2021	520	1, 2, 3, 4, 5		
2021-2022	520	1, 2, 3, 4, 5		
2022-2023	520	1, 2, 3, 4, 5		
2023-2024				

#### Chore (In-Home)

Unit o	of Service	= 1 Hour

Unit of Service = 1 Hour

•••		••••	
Fiscal	Proposed	Goal	Objective Numbers
Year	Units of Service	Numbers	(if applicable)
2020-2021	520	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2021-2022	520	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2022-2023	520	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2023-2024			

#### Home-Delivered Meal

#### Unit of Service = 1 Meal

Fiscal Year	Proposed Units of Service	Goal Numbers	Objective Numbers (if applicable)
2020-2021	1,985,100	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2021-2022	1,974,108	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2022-2023	1,856,311	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2023-2024			

#### **Congregate Meal**

(	Congregate M	leal		Unit of Service = 1 Meal
	Fiscal	Proposed	Goal	Objective Numbers
	Year	Units of Service	Numbers	(if applicable)
	2020-2021	997,500	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
	2021-2022	1,006,259	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
	2022-2023	951,878	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
	2023-2024			

#### **Nutrition Counseling**

Nutrition Counseling		Unit of Service = 1	Unit of Service = 1 Session per Participant	
Fiscal	Proposed	Goal	Objective Numbers	
Year	Units of Service	Numbers	(if applicable)	
2020-2021	3,288	1, 2, 3, 4, 5		
2021-2022	1,953	1, 2, 3, 4, 5		
2022-2023	1,749	1, 2, 3, 4, 5		
2023-2024				

#### **Transportation (Access)**

#### Fiscal Proposed Objective Numbers Goal Units of Service (if applicable) Year Numbers 36,000 2020-2021 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 2021-2022 16,500 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 2022-2023 16,500 2023-2024

#### Legal Assistance

L	egal Assistan.	nce		Unit of Service = 1 Hour
	Fiscal	Proposed	Goal	Objective Numbers
	Year	Units of Service	Numbers	(if applicable)
	2020-2021	14,984	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
	2021-2022	22,565	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
	2022-2023	22,565	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
	2023-2024			

#### Service Unit Plan (SUP) Objectives Area Plan Update 2022-23

#### Unit of Service = 1 Way Trip

#### **Nutrition Education**

#### Unit of Service = 1 Session per Participant

Fiscal	Proposed	Goal	Objective Numbers
Year	Units of Service	Numbers	(if applicable)
2020-2021	46,600	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2021-2022	46,600	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2022-2023	42,000	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2023-2024			

#### Information and Assistance (Access)

Unit of Service = 1 Contact

Fiscal	Proposed	Goal	Objective Numbers
Year	Units of Service	Numbers	(if applicable)
2020-2021	4,200	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2021-2022	5,500	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2022-2023	5,500	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2023-2024			

#### 2. NAPIS SERVICE CATEGORY: "OTHER" TITLE III SERVICES

Not applicable

#### 3. TITLE IIID: DISEASE PREVENTION AND HEALTH PROMOTION

Enter the name of the proposed program to be implemented, proposed units of service and the Program Goal and Objective number(s) that provide a narrative description of the program, and explain how the service activity meets the criteria for evidence-based programs described in PM 15-10 if not ACL approved.

Service Activities: <u>Chronic Disease Self-Management Program (CDSMP) and</u> <u>Diabetes Education Empowerment Program (DEEP)</u>

#### Title IIID/Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Unit of Service = 1 Contact

Fiscal Year	Proposed Units of Service	Goal Numbers	Objective Numbers (if applicable)
2020-2021	3,456	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2021-2022	3,456	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2022-2023	3,456	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	
2023-2024			

## 4. TITLE IIIB/TITLE VIIA: LONG-TERM CARE (LTC) OMBUDSMAN PROGRAM OUTCOMES

As mandated by the Older Americans Act Reauthorization Act of 2016, the mission of the LTC Ombudsman Program is to seek resolution of problems and advocate for the rights of residents of LTC facilities with the goal of ensuring their dignity, quality of life, and quality of care.

Each year during the four-year cycle, analysts from the Office of the State Long-Term Care Ombudsman (OSLTCO) will forward baseline numbers to the AAA from the prior fiscal year National Ombudsman Reporting System (NORS) data as entered into the Statewide Ombudsman Program database by the local LTC Ombudsman Program and reported by the OSTLCO in the State Annual Report to the Administration on Aging (AoA).

The AAA will establish targets each year in consultation with the local LTC Ombudsman Program Coordinator. Use the yearly baseline data as the benchmark for determining yearly targets. Refer to your local LTC Ombudsman Program's last three years of AoA data for historical trends. Targets should be reasonable and attainable based on current program resources.

Complete all Measures and Targets for Outcomes 1-3.

Outcome 1. The problems and concerns of long-term care residents are solved through complaint resolution and other services of the Ombudsman Program. Older Americans Act Reauthorization Act of 2016, Section 712(a)(3), (5)]

#### Measures and Targets:

A. Complaint Resolution Rate (NORS Element CD-08) (Complaint Disposition).

The average California complaint resolution rate for FY 2017-2018 was 73%.

1.	FY 2018-2019 Baseline Resolution Rate: Number of complaints resolved <u>260</u> + number of partially resolved complaints <u>231</u> divided by the total number of complaints received <u>737</u> = Baseline Resolution Rate <u>67</u> %
2.	FY 2019-2020 Baseline Resolution Rate: Number of complaints resolved <u>196</u> + number of partially resolved complaints <u>197</u> divided by the total number of complaints received <u>573</u> = Baseline Resolution Rate <u>69</u> %
3.	FY 2020 - 2021 Baseline Resolution Rate: Number of complaints resolved <u>298</u> + number of partially resolved complaints <u>0</u> divided by the total number of complaints received <u>649</u> = Baseline Resolution Rate <u>46</u> %
4.	FY 2021-2022 Baseline Resolution Rate: Number of complaints resolved + number of partially resolved complaints divided by the total number of complaints received = Baseline Resolution Rate%

Program Goals and Objective Numbers: <u>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</u>

#### B. Work with Resident Councils (NORS Elements S-64 and S-65)

1. FY 2018-2019 Baseline: Number of Resident Council meetings attended \_31\_

#### FY 2020-2021 Target <u>55</u>

2. FY 2019-2020 Baseline: Number of Resident Council meetings attended <u>24</u>

#### FY 2021-2022 Target <u>55</u>

3. FY 2020-2021 Baseline: Number of Resident Council meetings attended <u>17</u>

#### FY 2022-2023 Target <u>35</u>

4. FY 2021-2022 Baseline: Number of Resident Council meetings attended \_\_\_\_

#### FY 2023-2024 Target \_\_\_\_

Program Goals and Objective Numbers: <u>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</u>

#### **C.** Work with Family Councils (NORS Elements S-66 and S-67)

1. FY 2018-2019 Baseline: Number of Family Council meetings attended <u>8</u>

#### FY 2020-2021 Target <u>13</u>

2. FY 2019-2020 Baseline: Number of Family Council meetings attended <u>4</u>

#### FY 2021-2022 Target <u>13</u>

3. FY 2020-2021 Baseline: Number of Family Council meetings attended \_25\_

#### FY 2022-2023 Target <u>26</u>

4. FY 2021-2022 Baseline: Number of Family Council meetings attended \_\_\_\_\_

#### FY 2023-2024 Target \_\_\_\_

Program Goals and Objective Numbers: <u>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</u>

### D. Information and Assistance to Facility Staff (NORS Elements S-53 and S-54)

Count of instances of Ombudsman representatives' interactions with facility staff for the purpose of providing general information and assistance unrelated to a complaint. Information and Assistance may be accomplished by telephone, letter, email, fax, or inperson.

1. FY 2018-2019 Baseline: Number of Instances <u>335</u>

#### FY 2020-2021 Target <u>155</u>

2. FY 2019-2020 Baseline: Number of Instances <u>384</u>

#### FY 2021-2022 Target <u>155</u>

3. FY 2020-2021 Baseline: Number of Instances <u>358</u>

#### FY 2022-2023 Target <u>500</u>

4. FY 2021-2022 Baseline: Number of Instances \_\_\_\_

#### FY 2023-2024 Target \_\_\_\_

Program Goals and Objective Numbers: <u>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</u>

#### E. Information and Assistance to Individuals (NORS Elements S-55)

Count of instances of Ombudsman representatives' interactions with residents, family members, friends, and others in the community for the purpose of providing general information and assistance unrelated to a complaint. Information and Assistance may be accomplished by: telephone, letter, email, fax, or in person.

1. FY 2018-2019 Baseline: Number of Instances \_617\_

#### FY 2020-2021 Target <u>540</u>

2. FY 2019-2020 Baseline: Number of Instances \_1,144\_

#### FY 2021-2022 Target <u>540</u>

3. FY 2020-2021 Baseline: Number of Instances \_1,355\_

#### FY 2022-2023 Target <u>600</u>

4. FY 2021-2022 Baseline: Number of Instances \_\_\_\_

#### FY 2023-2024 Target \_\_\_\_

Program Goals and Objective Numbers: <u>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</u>

#### F. Community Education (NORS Elements S-68)

LTC Ombudsman Program participation in public events planned to provide information or instruction to community members about the LTC Ombudsman Program or LTC issues. The number of sessions refers to the number of events, not the number of participants. This cannot include sessions that are counted as Public Education Sessions under the Elder Abuse Prevention Program.

1. FY 2018-2019 Baseline: Number of Sessions <u>12</u>

#### FY 2020-2021 Target <u>7</u>

2. FY 2019-2020 Baseline: Number of Sessions <u>5</u>

#### FY 2021-2022 Target <u>7</u>

3. FY 2020-2021 Baseline: Number of Sessions <u>4</u>

#### FY 2022-2023 Target <u>10</u>

4. FY 2021-2022 Baseline: Number of Sessions \_\_\_\_

#### FY 2023-2024 Target \_\_\_\_

Program Goals and Objective Numbers: <u>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</u>

#### G. Systems Advocacy (NORS Elements S-01, S-07.1)

One or more new systems advocacy efforts must be provided for each fiscal year Area Plan Update. In the relevant box below for the current Area Plan year, in narrative format, please provide at least one new priority systems advocacy effort the local LTC Ombudsman Program will engage in during the fiscal year. The systems advocacy effort may be a multi-year initiative, but for each year, describe the results of the efforts made during the previous year and what specific new steps the local LTC Ombudsman program will be taking during the upcoming year. Progress and goals must be separately entered each year of the four-year cycle in the appropriate box below. Systems Advocacy can include efforts to improve conditions in one LTC facility or can be county-wide, state-wide, or even national in scope. (Examples: Work with LTC facilities to improve pain relief or increase access to oral health care, work with law enforcement entities to improve response and investigation of abuse complaints, collaboration with other agencies to improve LTC residents' quality of care and quality of life, participation in disaster preparedness planning, participation in legislative advocacy efforts related to LTC issues, etc.) Be specific about the actions planned by the local LTC Ombudsman Program.

Enter information in the relevant box below.

#### FY 2020-2021

**FY 2020-2021 Systems Advocacy Effort(s):** (Provide one or more new systems advocacy efforts)

- (1) The Ombudsman program will be increasing outreach and engagement efforts among monolingual Chinese language speaking residents of skilled nursing and residential care facilities. This is a growing segment of the client population and one which the Ombudsman program believes is not accessing services at the same rates as other populations. The Ombudsman program has established a dedicated bilingual Cantonese speaking Ombudsman specialist to focus on this population. The responsibilities of this staff position will include outreach and education at skilled nursing and residential care facilities as a means to increase awareness and utilization of Ombudsman services by monolingual Cantonese speaking residents and their families. The staff position will also be tasked with complaint response and investigation.
- (2) Ombudsman staff will also continue to work on systemic advocacy around the closure of Assisted Living Facilities (RCFE/ARF) in the City and County of San Francisco. This continues to be an ongoing issue in the City, with increased attention towards development policies and support structures to ensure that residential care facilities continue to operate in the City. The Ombudsman program will work with local advocacy groups as well as local government officials to seek solutions to this problem. Work will include participation in workgroups, providing testimony and expert information at public hearings and in research efforts, and involvement in situations where residential care facilities are slated for closure. Ombudsman interventions in these closure situations will be to help seek solutions to keep the facilities open, as well

#### FY 2021-2022

#### Outcome of FY 2020-2021 Efforts:

- (1) The Ombudsman program has two Cantonese-Mandarin language specialists who conducted outreach and engagement at all skilled nursing and residential care facilities where monolingual Chinese speakers resided – serving approximately 100 individuals across 12 sites. These specialists also continued to coordinate a Cantonese-Mandarin focused Family Council, organizing one of the first virtual family councils in California following the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020.
- (2) Following the outbreak of COVID-19 in early 2020, plans for systemic advocacy to seek solutions to the closure of RCFE/ARF in San Francisco were temporarily suspended; advocacy efforts were redirected to the emergency response. The Ombudsman Program Director joined a workgroup of City and community partners who met weekly to coordinate monitoring for COVID-19 outbreaks at RCFE/ARF and implementing infection control and mitigation strategies. The Ombudsman program contacted all RCFE/ARF sites, including smaller facilities, to support tracking on infection control efforts. In addition, the program provided advocacy for expanding Internet connectivity to smaller facilities to address concerns that persons with mental health disabilities at these sites may lack ongoing tele-health contact with case managers and health professionals coordinating their care.

## **FY 2021-2022 Systems Advocacy Effort(s):** (Provide one or more new systems advocacy efforts)

- (1) In coordination with the San Francisco Office of the Public Guardian, the Ombudsman program will provide outreach to RCFE/ARF residents, including those with cognitive impairment, who may not be accessing guardianship services and would benefit from connection to this resource. To ensure equitable delivery of outreach services, program staff will be trained to address the needs of RCFE/ARF residents who may be unable to participate in virtual Ombudsman visits due to capacity or other factors.
- (2) Ombudsman staff will plan regular visits to RCFE/ARF memory care units to observe staffing, activities, and review the Dementia Plans they have submitted to the state's Community Care Licensing Division. Staff will also review facilities' training content for dementia care planning to ensure alignment with best practices recommended by the Alzheimer's Association. Based on this oversight, the Ombudsman program will make policy recommendations to improve the quality and consistency of memory care services across facilities.

#### FY 2022-2023

#### Outcome of FY 2021-2022 Efforts:

- (1) The Ombudsman program has continued its focus on outreach to monolingual Chinese speaking residents of RCFE/ARF. Due in part to COVID-19 related staffing issues and the ongoing challenges of providing virtual Ombudsman services to address residents' care planning needs, overall outreach levels to this population declined slightly compared to the prior year. The program's Cantonese-Mandarin outreach team is currently made up of one full-time and two part-time staff; the program intends to add another full-time position to further reinforce these efforts.
- (2) Ombudsman staff have resumed work on systemic advocacy around the closure of Assisted Living Facilities (RCFE/ARF) in the City and County of San Francisco, which continues to be an ongoing issue in the City. The Ombudsman program continues to work with local advocacy groups as well as local government officials to seek solutions to this problem.

**FY 2022-2023 Systems Advocacy Effort(s):** (Provide one or more new systems advocacy efforts)

- (1) The Ombudsman program will undertake work to address the psychological effects of long-term isolation and quarantine due to COVID-19 on skilled nursing facility residents. These efforts follow from a report commissioned by the San Francisco Long Term Care Coordinating Council on the mental health needs of this population. The report offered several recommendations, including to increase Ombudsman visits to facilities, supported by well-trained staff with an understanding of the importance of socialization, visitation, and choice for facility residents. Program staff will focus on operationalizing this recommendation in the coming year to help address non-clinical aspects of residents' psychological well-being.
- (2) The Ombudsman program will bring a greater focus to issues of abuse, neglect, and self-neglect at RCFE/ARF. The program plans to hire a staff person whose primary responsibility will be to regularly visit these facilities to conduct mandated reporter training to service providers, provide direct outreach and education to residents on issues of abuse and neglect, and to respond to reports of abuse as they arise.

#### FY 2023-2024

#### Outcome of 2022-2023 Efforts:

**FY 2023-2024 Systems Advocacy Effort(s):** (Provide one or more new systems advocacy efforts)

Outcome 2. Residents have regular access to an Ombudsman. [(Older Americans Act Reauthorization Act of 2016), Section 712(a)(3)(D), (5)(B)(ii)]

#### Measures and Targets:

#### A. Routine Access: Nursing Facilities (NORS Elements S-58)

Number of nursing facilities within the PSA that were visited by an Ombudsman representative at least once each quarter **not** in response to a complaint. NOTE: This is not a count of visits but a count of facilities. In determining the number of facilities visited for this measure, no nursing facility can be counted more than once.

1. FY 2018-2019 Baseline: Number of Nursing Facilities visited at least once a quarter not in response to a complaint <u>19</u>\_\_\_\_\_

#### FY 2020-2021 Target <u>19</u>

2. FY 2019-2020 Baseline: Number of Nursing Facilities visited at least once a quarter not in response to a complaint \_0\_

#### FY 2021-2022 Target <u>19</u>

3. FY 2020-2021 Baseline: Number of Nursing Facilities visited at least once a quarter not in response to a complaint <u>20</u>

#### FY 2022-2023 Target <u>20</u>

4. FY 2021-2022 Baseline: Number of Nursing Facilities visited at least once a quarter not in response to a complaint \_\_\_\_

#### FY 2023-2024 Target \_\_

Program Goals and Objective Numbers: <u>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</u>\_

#### B. Routine Access: Residential Care Communities (NORS Elements S-61)

Number of RCFEs within the PSA that were visited by an Ombudsman representative at least once each quarter during the fiscal year **not** in response to a complaint. NOTE: This is not a count of visits but a count of facilities. In determining the number of facilities visited for this measure, no RCFE can be counted more than once.

1. FY 2018-2019 Baseline: Number of RCFEs visited at least once a quarter not in response to a complaint <u>\_34</u>\_

#### FY 2020-2021 Target <u>42</u>

2. FY 2019-2020 Baseline: Number of RCFEs visited at least once a quarter not in response to a complaint <u>0</u>\_

#### FY 2021-2022 Target <u>34</u>

3. FY 2020-2021 Baseline: Number of RCFEs visited at least once a quarter not in response to a complaint <u>49</u>\_

#### FY 2022-2023 Target <u>37</u>

4. FY 2021-2022 Baseline: Number of RCFEs visited at least once a quarter not in response to a complaint \_\_\_\_

Program Goals and Objective Numbers: <u>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</u>

#### C. Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff (NORS Elements S-23)

This number may only include staff time legitimately charged to the LTC Ombudsman Program. Time spent working for or in other programs may not be included in this number. For example, in a local LTC Ombudsman Program that considers full-time employment to be 40 hour per week, the FTE for a staff member who works in the Ombudsman Program 20 hours a week should be 0.5, even if the staff member works an additional 20 hours in another program.

1. FY 2018-2019 Baseline: <u>6.39</u> FTEs

#### FY 2020-2021 Target <u>7.0</u> FTEs

2. FY 2019-2020 Baseline: <u>7.79</u> FTEs

#### FY 2021-2022 Target <u>7.0</u> FTEs

3. FY 2020-2021 Baseline: <u>6.92</u> FTEs

#### FY 2022-2023 Target <u>7.65</u> FTEs

4. FY 2021-2022 Baseline: \_\_\_\_ FTEs

FY 2023-2024 Target \_\_\_ FTEs

Program Goals and Objective Numbers: <u>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</u>

#### **D.** Number of Certified LTC Ombudsman Volunteers (NORS Elements S-24)

1. FY 2018-2019 Baseline: Number of certified LTC Ombudsman volunteers: <u>19</u>

FY 2020-2021 Projected: Number of certified LTC Ombudsman volunteers: <u>17</u>

2. FY 2019-2020 Baseline: Number of certified LTC Ombudsman volunteers: <u>16</u>

FY 2021-2022 Projected: Number of certified LTC Ombudsman volunteers: <u>17</u>

3. FY 2020-2021 Baseline: Number of certified LTC Ombudsman volunteers: <u>23</u> FY 2022-2023 Projected: Number of certified LTC Ombudsman volunteers: <u>20</u>

Program Goals and Objective Numbers: <u>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</u>

Outcome 3. Ombudsman representatives accurately and consistently report data about their complaints and other program activities in a timely manner. [Older Americans Act Reauthorization Act of 2016, Section 712(c)]

#### Measures and Targets:

In the box below, in narrative format, describe one or more specific efforts your program will undertake in the upcoming year to increase the accuracy, consistency, and timeliness of your National Ombudsman Reporting System (NORS) data reporting.

Some examples could include:

- Hiring additional staff to enter data
- Updating computer equipment to make data entry easier
- Initiating a case review process to ensure case entry is completed in a timely manner

#### FY 2020-2021

- The NORS system was recently updated to "ODIN 2020" an update version of the current reporting system. As a result the Ombudsman program is hosting increased training opportunities for volunteers to learn how to use this system. The update and trainings will also be used as an opportunity to remind and promote timely and accurate reporting amongst the volunteer corps.
- 2) In addition to the trainings, the Ombudsman program is setting up two new cubicles with computers in their office, which will be reserved and dedicated for volunteer use. Volunteers will be encouraged to come to the Ombudsman office to complete documentation and database reporting of casework; Ombudsman staff at the office will serve as support and trainers for volunteers utilizing these computer stations.

#### FY 2021-2022

 To support improved consistency and completeness of data collection by Ombudsman staff and volunteers, we are developing teams led by program staff to oversee data entry. Full-time staff will supervise volunteers to meet requirements for complete and timely data entry into the NORS system.

#### FY 2022-2023

- 1) The Ombudsman program will seek funding to hire a staff person to support data entry and assist staff and volunteers to complete necessary documentation. This staff member will also be a Certified Ombudsman.
- 2) To support Ombudsman staff to meet the Administration of Community Living's requirement to complete a minimum of 18 hours of continuing education annually, the program will schedule at least 8 hours of formalized case review.

#### FY 2023-2024

#### 5. TITLE VIIA: ELDER ABUSE PREVENTION

The program conducting the Title VIIA Elder Abuse Prevention work is:

	Ombudsman Program
	Legal Services Provider
х	Adult Protective Services
	Other (explain/list)

Units of Service categories include public education sessions, training sessions for professionals, training sessions for caregivers served by a Title IIIE Family Caregiver Support Program, educational materials distributed, and hours of activity spent developing a coordinated system which addresses elder abuse prevention, investigation, and prosecution.

When developing targets for each fiscal year, refer to data reported on the Elder Abuse Prevention Quarterly Activity Reports. Set realistic goals based upon the prior year's numbers and the resources available. Activities reported for the Title VII Elder Abuse Prevention Program must be distinct from activities reported for the LTC Ombudsman Program. No activity can be reported for both programs.

AAAs must provide one or more of the service categories below. NOTE: The number of sessions refers to the number of presentations and not the number of attendees

- **Public Education Sessions** –Indicate the total number of projected education sessions for the general public on the identification, prevention, and treatment of elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation.
- **Training Sessions for Professionals** –Indicate the total number of projected training sessions for professionals (service providers, nurses, social workers) on the identification, prevention, and treatment of elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation.
- Training Sessions for Caregivers Served by Title IIIE –Indicate the total number of projected training sessions for unpaid family caregivers who are receiving services under Title IIIE of the Older Americans Act (OAA) on the identification, prevention, and treatment of elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation. Older Americans Act Reauthorization Act of 2016, Section 302(3) 'Family caregiver' means an adult family member, or another individual, who is an informal provider of in-home and community care to an older individual or to an individual with Alzheimer's disease or a related disorder with neurological and organic brain dysfunction.
- Hours Spent Developing a Coordinated System to Respond to Elder Abuse –Indicate the number of hours to be spent developing a coordinated system to respond to elder abuse. This category includes time spent coordinating services provided by the AAA or

its contracted service provider with services provided by Adult Protective Services, local law enforcement agencies, legal services providers, and other agencies involved in the protection of elder and dependent adults from abuse, neglect, and exploitation.

- Educational Materials Distributed –Indicate the type and number of educational materials to be distributed to the general public, professionals, and caregivers (this may include materials that have been developed by others) to help in the identification, prevention, and treatment of elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation.
- **Number of Individuals Served** –Indicate the total number of individuals expected to be reached by any of the above activities of this program.

The agencies receiving Title VIIA Elder Abuse Prevention funding are: \_Institute on Aging, Asian Pacific Islander Legal Outreach\_

Fiscal Year	Total # of Public Education Sessions
2020-2021	20
2021-2022	12
2022-2023	20
2023-2024	

Fiscal Year	Total # of Training Sessions for Caregivers served by Title IIIE
2020-2021	0
2021-2022	0
2022-2023	0
2023-2024	

Fiscal Year	Total # of Training Sessions for Professionals
2020-2021	25
2021-2022	25
2022-2023	29
2023-2024	

Fiscal Year	Total # of Hours Spent Developing a Coordinated System
2020-2021	287
2021-2022	160
2022-2023	283
2023-2024	

Fiscal Year	Total # of Copies of Educational Materials to be Distributed	Description of Educational Materials
2020-2021	4,540	A variety of materials for the general public and professionals, including an Elder Abuse Prevention FAQ Sheet in six languages, Elder Justice Workshop Resource Guide, AB40 LTC Mandated Reporter Flow Chart, SOC34, Top Five Under-the-Radar Financial Scams, and Forensic Center FAQ.
2021-2022	3,000	See above
2022-2023	4,540	See above. Materials also include information pertaining to the San Francisco District Attorney's Consumer Fraud Unit. These materials are available in multiple languages to the general public, front line service professionals and caregivers, and Adult Protective Services, District Attorney, and County Veterans Service Office staff to help in the identification, prevention, and treatment of elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation and scams specifically targeting Asian/Pacific Islander community members. These educational materials are available in, but not limited to, English, Chinese, Filipino (Tagalog), Korean, Vietnamese, and Spanish.

Fiscal Year	Total # of Copies of Educational Materials to be Distributed	Description of Educational Materials
2023-2024		

Fiscal Year	Total Number of Individuals Served
2020-2021	5,940
2021-2022	4,000
2022-2023	5,940
2023-2024	

### 6. TITLE IIIE: FAMILY CAREGIVER SUPPORT

This Service Unit Plan (SUP) uses the five broad federally mandated service categories. Refer to the CDA Service Categories and Data Dictionary Revisions Effective July 2018 for eligible activities and service unit measures. Specify proposed audience size or units of service for ALL budgeted funds.

Categories	Proposed Units of Service	Required Goal #(s)		
Information Services	# of activities and Total est. audience for above			
2020-2021	# of activities: <u>_41_</u> Total est. audience for above: <u>_700</u> _			
2021-2022	# of activities: <u>_41_</u> Total est. audience for above: <u>_700_</u>			
2022-2023	# of activities: <u>_41_</u> Total est. audience for above: <u>_700</u> _			
2023-2024	# of activities: Total est. audience for above:			
Access Assistance	Total Contacts			
2020-2021	768			
2021-2022	768			
2022-2023	768			
2023-2024				
Support Services	Total Hours			
2020-2021	2,836			
2021-2022	2,312			
2022-2023	2,312			
2023-2024				
Respite Care	Total Hours			
2020-2021	960			
2021-2022	960			
2022-2023	960			
2023-2024				
Supplemental Services	Total Occurrences			
2020-2021	90			
2021-2022	90			
2022-2023	90			
2023-2024				

Direct and/or Contracted IIIE Services: Family Caregiver S	Services Caring for Elderly
--	-----------------------------

### Direct and/or Contracted IIIE Services: Grandparent Services Caring for Children

We do not fund these services using Older Americans Act funding.

#### 7. HEALTH INSURANCE COUNSELING & ADVOCACY PROGRAM (HICAP) SERVICE UNIT PLAN

**STATE & FEDERAL PERFORMANCE TARGETS:** The Administration for Community Living (ACL) establishes targets for the State Health Insurance Assistance Program (SHIP)/HICAP performance measures (PMs). ACL introduced revisions to the SHIP PMs in late 2016 in conjunction with the original funding announcement (ref HHS-2017-ACL-CIP-SAPG-0184) for implementation with the release of the Notice of Award (Grant No. 90SAPG0052-01-01 issued July 2017).

The new five federal PMs generally reflect the former seven PMs (PM 2.1 through PM 2.7), except for PM 2.7, (Total Counseling Hours), which was removed because it is already being captured under the SHIP Annual Resource Report. As a part of these changes, ACL eliminated the performance-based funding scoring methodology and replaced it with a Likert scale comparison model for setting National Performance Measure Targets that define the proportional penetration rates needed for improvements.

Using ACL's approach, CDA HICAP provides State and Federal Performance Measures with goal-oriented targets for each AAA's Planning and Service Area (PSA). One change to all PMs is the shift to county-level data. In general, the State and Federal Performance Measures include the following:

- PM 1.1 Clients Counseled ~ Number of finalized Intakes for clients/ beneficiaries that received HICAP services
- PM 1.2 Public and Media Events (PAM) ~ Number of completed PAM forms categorized as "interactive" events
- PM 2.1 Client Contacts ~ Percentage of one-on-one interactions with any Medicare beneficiaries
- PM 2.2 PAM Outreach Contacts ~ Percentage of persons reached through events categorized as "interactive"
- PM 2.3 Medicare Beneficiaries Under 65 ~ Percentage of one-on-one interactions with Medicare beneficiaries under the age of 65
- PM 2.4 Hard-to-Reach Contacts ~ Percentage of one-on-one interactions with "hard-to- reach" Medicare beneficiaries designated as:
  - o PM 2.4a Low-income (LIS)
  - o PM 2.4b Rural
  - PM 2.4c English Second Language (ESL)
- PM 2.5 Enrollment Contacts ~ Percentage of contacts with one or more qualifying enrollment topics discussed

AAA's should demonstrate progress toward meeting or improving on the Performance requirements established by CDA and ACL as is displayed annually on the HICAP State and Federal Performance Measures tool located online at:

<u>https://www.aging.ca.gov/Providers\_and\_Partners/Area\_Agencies\_on\_Aging/#pp-planning</u>. (Reference CDA PM 17-11 for further discussion, including current HICAP Performance Measures and Definitions).

For current and future planning, CDA requires each AAA ensure that HICAP service units and related federal Annual Resource Report data are documented and verified complete/finalized in CDA's Statewide HICAP Automated Reporting Program (SHARP) system per the existing contractual reporting requirements. **HICAP Service Units do not need to be input in the Area Plan (with the exception of HICAP Paid Legal Services, where applicable).** 

### HICAP Legal Services Units of Service (if applicable)<sup>5</sup>

We do not fund HICAP Paid Legal Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Requires a contract for using HICAP funds to pay for HICAP Legal Services.

## Governing Board

This section lists the members of the Commission on Disability and Aging Services, which is our Mayoral-appointed oversight body.

### CCR Article 3, Section 7302(a)(11)

#### Total Number of Board Members: <u>7</u>

Name and Title of Officers	Term Expiration
Martha Knutzen, Commission President	7/1/24
Janet Spears, Commission Vice President	5/19/23

Name and Title of Other Members	Term Expiration
Barbara Sklar	7/1/24
Nelson Lum	1/15/24
Sascha Bittner	1/15/24
Wanda Jung	1/15/24
Michelle Carrington	1/15/24

## Advisory Board

## This section describes the membership of the Advisory Council, which advises the Disability and Aging Services Commission (our Governing Board described in Section 16).

#### Older Americans Act Reauthorization Act of 2016 Section 306(a)(6)(D) 45 CFR, Section 1321.57 CCR Article 3, Section 7302(a)(12)

The Advisory Council provides input on matters relating to the wellbeing of older people in San Francisco and supports the development, administration, and operations of this Area Plan.

Total Council Membership (include vacancies)22(9 vacancies)Number of Council Members Age 60+9

Race/Ethnic Composition	% of San Francisco Population*	% on Advisory Council
White	41%	53%
Hispanic	15%	0%
Black	5%	8%
Asian/Pacific Islander	34%	15%
Native American/Alaskan Native	0.2%	8%
Other	5%	23%

\*Source: 2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Name and Title of Officers	Term Expiration
Diane Lawrence, President	3/31/22
Margaret Graf, 1st Vice President	3/31/22
Allegra Fortunati, 2nd Vice President	3/31/23
Secretary seat is unfilled at this time	-

Name and Title of Other Members	Term Expiration
Allen Cooper	3/31/22
Anne Warren	4/30/23
Chris Dillon	3/31/23
Eva Aber	3/31/24
Juliet Rothman	3/31/22
Kay Parekh	10/31/22
Louise Hines	3/31/23
Marcy Adelman	3/31/23
Morningstar Vancil	7/31/23
Tia Small	6/30/23

## Indicate which member(s) represent each of the "Other Representation" categories listed below.

Yes	No	
	$\boxtimes$	Low Income Representative
$\boxtimes$		Disabled Representative
$\boxtimes$		Supportive Services Provider Representative
$\boxtimes$		Health Care Provider Representative
$\boxtimes$		Family Caregiver Representative
	$\boxtimes$	Local Elected Officials
$\boxtimes$		Individuals with Leadership Experience in Private and Voluntary Sectors
		-

### Explain any "No" answer(s)

Elected officials in San Francisco – specifically, our 11 district supervisors – appoint individuals who reside in their district to act as their representative and represent the interests of their constituency.

### Explain any expiring terms – have they been replaced, renewed, or other?

Expiring positions are a mix of appointments made each by the Disability and Aging Services Commission or the Board of Supervisors. We have been in communication with these appointing bodies to renew any expiring terms, and expect action within the next few months. In the interim, expired members are permitted to continue serving in their roles.

## Briefly describe the local governing board's process to appoint Advisory Council members:

Half of the Members of the Advisory Board are appointed by the Disability and Aging Services Commission. All other 11 members are appointed – one each – by their County District Supervisor.

# Legal Assistance

This section provides information about the Legal Services and how this resource is provided within San Francisco.

This section <u>must</u> be completed and submitted annually. The Older Americans Act Reauthorization Act of 2016 designates legal assistance as a priority service under Title III B [42 USC §3026(a)(2)].<sup>6</sup> CDA developed *California Statewide Guidelines for Legal Assistance* (Guidelines), which are to be used as best practices by CDA, AAAs and LSPs in the contracting and monitoring processes for legal services, and located at: <u>https://aging.ca.gov/Providers\_and\_Partners/Legal\_Services/#pp-gg</u>

## 1. Based on your local needs assessment, what percentage of Title IIIB funding is allocated to Legal Services?

45%

2. Specific to Legal Services, has there been a change in your local needs in the past four years? If so, please identify the change (include whether the change affected the level of funding and the difference in funding levels in the past four years).

No. Requests for housing related legal assistance continues to be the most frequent need of LSP providers. Our AAA has allocated additional local county general funds to support additional legal services in the area of health law and financial elder abuse.

3. Specific to Legal Services, does the AAA's contract/agreement with the Legal Services Provider(s) (LSPs) specify that the LSPs are expected to use the California Statewide Guidelines in the provision of OAA legal services?

Yes. It is explicitly stated in contracts with providers.

4. Does the AAA collaborate with the Legal Services Provider(s) to jointly establish specific priorities issues for legal services? If so what are the top four (4) priority legal issues in your PSA?

Priority areas are identified based on needs assessment analysis provided by the AAA's Planning Unit as well as through input from the LSPs about areas where they are seeing the most requests. The top priority issues in our PSA are housing, income maintenance, elder abuse, and health care.

### 5. Specific to Legal Services, does the AAA collaborate with the Legal Services Provider(s) to jointly identify the target population?

We use Older Americans Act and Older Californians Act guidelines, as well as needs assessment analysis prepared by the AAA's Planning Unit to identify target populations. Target populations currently identified in our Older Adult Legal Services contracts are: lowincome (<100% FPL), limited English proficiency, minority, frail, and member of LGBTQ+ community.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For Information related to Legal Services, contact Jeremy A. Avila at (916) 419-7500 or <u>Jeremy.Avila@aging.ca.gov</u>

Legal Services Providers funded by our AAA participate in quarterly providers meetings, hosted by AAA staff. These meetings are used as a means for AAA staff to best understand need as seen by the LSPs and coordinate services accordingly. Moving forward, these meetings will include standing agenda items to consider jointly identifying the target population, coordination of services to best reach the target population, and identifying priority legal issues in the community.

## 6. Specific to Legal Services, what is the targeted senior population and mechanism for reaching targeted groups in your PSA?

See above for description of target population for older adult legal services. In order to reach these target populations, the LSPs are active in the community attending and participating in various community events, hosting and attending educational events, and staffing off-site legal clinics co-located at community centers and other sites where older adults may be in attendance.

The LSPs also publish and widely distribute a "Senior Rights Bulletin" multiple times per year on timely and relevant issues to the target population. The bulletin is available in four languages and contains contact info for LSPs. Average run is 8,000 copies per issue.

In response to the increased reliance on virtual delivery of services following the COVID-19 outbreak, LSPs have begun participating in online forums and events organized by non-LSPs as a means to educate the public on their services as well as larger contemporary legal issues in the public discourse.

Fiscal Year	# of Legal Assistance Services Providers
2020-2021	6
2021-2022	6
2022-2023	6
2023-2024	

### 7. How many legal assistance service providers are in your PSA?

#### 8. What methods of outreach are Legal Services providers using?

LSPs a variety of methods for outreach into the community including participation in community events, community networking groups, off-site legal clinics, and a widely distributed legal issues bulletin. Many providers are well known in San Francisco due to their long histories of service in the community.

Fiscal Year	Name of Provider	Geographic Region covered
2020-2021	a. Asian Americans Advancing Justice – Asian Law Caucus	a. Citywide (primarily Chinatown, North and South of Market, Visitacion Valley)
	b. Asian Pacific Islander Legal Outreach	b. Citywide (primarily Chinatown, Bayview, Visitacion Valley, North and South of Market)
	c. La Raza Centro Legal	c. Citywide (primarily Mission)
	d. Legal Assistance to the Elderly	d. Citywide (primarily North and South of Market, Mission)
	e. Open Door Legal	e. Citywide (primarily Bayview and Excelsior)
	f. UC Hastings College of the Law – Medical Legal Partnership for Seniors	f. Citywide (primarily hospital settings)
2021-2022	Same as prior year	Same as prior year
2022-2023	Same as prior year	Same as prior year
2023-2024		

### 9. What geographic regions are covered by each provider?

## 10. Discuss how older adults access Legal Services in your PSA and whether they can receive assistance remotely (e.g., virtual legal clinics, phone, U.S. Mail, etc.).

Clients most commonly access Legal Services by contacting the providers directly, by calling or dropping in to the agencies. Legal Service Providers all have offices with regular hours as well as a variety of offsite clinics and outreach efforts to increase accessibility. Clients can find out about legal providers via the Integrated Intake service offered by the AAA's larger City Department. Clients can also be connected to services via working relationships between legal providers and other providers, including case management agencies and the City's Adult Protective Services unit.

Outside of AAA function, the City of San Francisco has instituted a "Right to Counsel" program in the event of eviction litigation. In these situations, all residents facing eviction litigation are connected through a central referral hub to non-IIIB funded legal services program.

Due to COVID-19-related public health and safety requirements, LSPs have limited in-person delivery of services, shifting instead to virtual formats — such as online meetings — to speak with clients. Some LSPs have also offered in-office virtual visits, where a client may attend an appointment at an office, but is provided technology to virtually visit with a staffer safely distanced elsewhere.

## 11. Identify the major types of legal issues that are handled by the Title IIIB legal provider(s) in your PSA (please include new trends of legal problems in your area).

Housing related legal assistance continues to be the top requested issue seen by our legal services providers. The City of San Francisco has taken a systemic approach to legal services related to housing by launching a "Right to Counsel" program where all residents facing eviction litigation are provided an attorney to assist them. While this has provided an

alternative resource for eviction defense assistance for older adults in San Francisco, this program is only applicable once eviction legal proceedings have started. The LSP requests for issues related to warranty of habitability, harassment, reasonable accommodation, and other housing legal issues outside of eviction defense remain high and are now they most common type of legal issue our older adult legal service providers handle.

Elder abuse legal services are an area of strength among legal services providers in our AAA. Services most commonly involve seeking issuance of protective restraining orders in cases of physical harm and seeking remedies and resolution in incidences of financial elder abuse. Starting in FY19/20, one of our LSPs is now participating in the "Financial Abuse Virtual Unit," an interdisciplinary team meeting hosted by our Adult Protective Services unit which meets at least monthly to review suspected cases of financial elder abuse and discuss potential resources and remedies. Our LSP in attendance is using this as an opportunity to receive referrals for financial abuse cases requiring legal intervention.

## 12. What are the barriers to accessing legal assistance in your PSA? Include proposed strategies for overcoming such barriers.

With a majority of the PSA population reporting a primary language other than English, ensuring strong language access and bilingual staffing at LSPs remains a priority to address language barriers.

Cost of living in the PSA has in turn results in difficulty finding and retaining bilingual staff. The PSA has worked with providers to increase wages in an attempt at better hiring and retention.

## 13. What other organizations or groups does your legal service provider coordinate services with?

Legal Services Providers coordinate with our Long Term Care Ombudsman Program, HICAP, Adult Protective Services, Community Centers and ADRCs, and other older adult service providers.

## Organizational Charts

## This section provides information on our organizational structure and staff support for the Area Agency on Aging functions.

As noted earlier, the Area Agency on Aging for PSA 6 is the San Francisco Department of Disability and Aging Services (DAS). **Our Department is located within the San Francisco Human Services Agency** (SFHSA), which provides help with food, cash assistance, health insurance, job training, supportive care, and much more. In addition to DAS, SFHSA includes the Department of Human Services (administers programs such as CalFresh, Medi-Cal, child welfare, and Welfare to Work) and the Office of Early Care and Education (coordinates resources for parents seeking childcare services).

Our Department provides many services for older people and adults with disabilities, including direct services delivered by our staff and also programs facilitated by communitybased organizations. **Most of our Older Americans Act services are facilitated by the Office of Community Partnerships (OCP)**.<sup>7</sup> This team is responsible for coordinating program planning and design of services, as well as implementing and monitoring contracts with community-based organizations. This work is spearheaded by the OCP Director and facilitated by a team of Analysts and Nutritionists assigned to lead specific service areas; they work to develop scopes of service, provide technical assistance to service providers, and monitor performance.

In addition to these community collaborations, we offer Information and Assistance services through the **DAS Integrated Intake and Referral Unit located at our Benefits and Resource Hub**. The Hub is our in-person service center for older people, adults with disabilities, caregivers, and veterans. The Intake Unit includes Social Workers who provide information and assistance services and also helps consumers complete intake forms for DAS services, such as In-Home Supportive Services and home-delivered meals.


Our Department's work to provide these services is supported by the SFHSA administrative divisions, including Budget, Fiscal, and Planning. Our **Budget** Analyst supports development of the DAS budget and is the Agency's lead in managing the budgeting of funds from the CA Department of Aging. We receive support from a small **Fiscal** team, which ensures we comply with financial standards and billing processes. And our work is supported by a **Planning** Analyst who facilitates our strategic planning, including the Four Year Area Plan and subsequent Updates, and supports data management.


Please see the organizational charts on the subsequent pages for additional detail on our structure and staffing.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Since the last four-year Area Plan, we have renamed this team to better reflect the nature of its work (formerly called the Office on the Aging).





Agency Administration – Top Tier FY 2022–2023












Organizational Charts Area Plan Update 2022-23



Organizational Charts Area Plan Update 2022-23